arXiv:1601.06100v1 [quant-ph] 22 Jan 2016

HIDDEN BELL CORRELATIONS IN THE FOUR-LEVEL ATOM†

Margarita A. Man'ko[1]* **and Vladimir I. Man'ko**[1,2]

[1] *Lebedev Physical Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences*
Leninskii Prospect 53, Moscow 119991, Russia

[2] *Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology (State University)*
Dolgoprudnyi, Moscow Region 141700, Russia

*Corresponding author email: mmanko@sci.lebedev.ru

Abstract

We extend the Bell inequality known for two qubits to the four-level atom, including an artificial atom realized by the superconducting circuit, and qudit with $j = 3/2$. We formulate the extended inequality as the inequality valid for an arbitrary Hermitian nonnegative 4×4-matrix with unit trace for both separable and entangled matrices.

Keywords: Bell inequality, hidden correlations, qudit, superconducting circuit.

1 Introduction

Bell inequalities [1, 2] are connected with properties of quantum correlations. The quantum correlations in composite systems containing subsystems correspond to the influence of the behavior of the subsystem degrees of freedom on the behavior of the degrees of freedom of the other subsystems.

For bipartite systems, there exist entropic inequalities like the subadditivity condition providing the nonnegativity of mutual von Neumann information. This inequality is also connected with quantum correlations of the subsystem degrees of freedom in the composite system.

For tripartite systems, the strong subadditivity condition [3] characterizes the level of quantum correlations of the subsystem degrees of freedom in the system. Recently, it was pointed out [4–7] that analogous inequalities exist in noncomposite systems as well. This fact was understood due to the application of a specific invertible map of integers $s = 1, 2, \ldots, N$, where $N = nm$, onto pairs of integers (jk), where $j = 1, 2, \ldots n$ and $k = 1, 2, \ldots m$, or, in the case $N = n_1 n_2 n_3$, to triples of integers (jkl), where $j = 1, 2, \ldots n_1$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots n_2$, and $l = 1, 2, \ldots n_3$.

The aim of this work is to extend the Bell inequalities [1, 2] known for bipartite system states of two qubits to the noncomposite system states like the four-level atom or qudit with $j = 3/2$. We show that the Clauser–Horne–Shimony–Holt (CHSH) inequality [2] can be rewritten for an arbitrary Hermitian 4×4-matrix $\rho \geq 0$, such that $\mathrm{Tr}\ \rho = 1$. In the case of qudit ($j = 1$) states, the violation of the Bell inequalities corresponds to quantum correlations, which we call the hidden Bell correlations.

This paper is organized as follows.

†Based on the invited talk delivered by M. A. Man'ko at the 46th Winter Colloquium on the Physics of Quantum Electronics (PQE-2016, January 3–8, 2016, Snowbird, Utah, USA).

In Sec. 2, we consider the system of two qubits and recently obtained entropic inequalities like the subadditivity condition for a single qudit with $j = 3/2$. In Sec. 3, we review analogous new subadditivity condition and Araki–Lieb inequalities for qutrit states. In Sec. 4, we discuss tomographic probability distributions of spin states [8–11]. In Sec. 5, we write the Bell inequality for a single qudit and the four-level atom and present the new inequality (an analog of the subadditivity condition) for an arbitrary Hermitian nonnegative matrix with unit trace in Sec. 6. In the concluding Sec. 7, we give the prospective of the approach elaborated, including the application to the superconducting circuit [12–17]. In the Appendix, we provide the readers with an analog of the new Bell inequality for an arbitrary Hermitian 4×4-matrix.

2 Quantum System of Two Qubits

If we use the map of indices

$$1/2\ 1/2 \leftrightarrow 1,\ 1/2\ -1/2 \leftrightarrow 2,\ -1/2\ 1/2 \leftrightarrow 3,\ -1/2\ -1/2 \leftrightarrow 4,$$

the density matrix $\rho_{m_1 m_2 m_1' m_2'}$ of two qubits with $m_1, m_2, m_1', m_2' = \pm 1/2$ can be written explicitly in two forms

$$\rho = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{11} & \rho_{12} & \rho_{13} & \rho_{14} \\ \rho_{21} & \rho_{22} & \rho_{23} & \rho_{24} \\ \rho_{31} & \rho_{32} & \rho_{33} & \rho_{34} \\ \rho_{41} & \rho_{42} & \rho_{43} & \rho_{44} \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{1/2\,1/2\,1/2\,1/2} & \rho_{1/2\,1/2\,1/2\,-1/2} & \rho_{1/2\,1/2\,-1/2\,1/2} & \rho_{1/2\,1/2\,-1/2\,-1/2} \\ \rho_{1/2\,-1/2\,1/2\,1/2} & \rho_{1/2\,-1/2\,1/2\,-1/2} & \rho_{1/2\,-1/2\,-1/2\,1/2} & \rho_{1/2\,-1/2\,-1/2\,-1/2} \\ \rho_{-1/2\,1/2\,1/2\,1/2} & \rho_{-1/2\,1/2\,1/2\,-1/2} & \rho_{-1/2\,1/2\,-1/2\,1/2} & \rho_{-1/2\,1/2\,-1/2\,-1/2} \\ \rho_{-1/2\,-1/2\,1/2\,1/2} & \rho_{-1/2\,-1/2\,1/2\,-1/2} & \rho_{-1/2\,-1/2\,-1/2\,1/2} & \rho_{-1/2\,-1/2\,-1/2\,-1/2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We denote the matrix $\rho \equiv \rho(1,2)$. The density matrix $\rho_{ss'}$ $(s, s' = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ rewritten in the form $\rho_{m_1 m_2 m_1' m_2'}$ is Hermitian, $\rho^\dagger = \rho$, with $\mathrm{Tr}\,\rho = 1$, and it is nonnegative, $\rho \geq 0$, which means that its eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4$ are nonnegative numbers.

For two qubit systems, one has the density matrices for each qubit state obtained by the procedure of partial tracing. The density matrices of the first qubit $\rho(1)$ with matrix elements $\rho(1)_{m_1 m_1'}$ and the second qubit $\rho(2)$ with matrix elements $\rho(2)_{m_2 m_2'}$, by definition, read

$$\rho(1)_{m_1\, m_1'} = \big(\mathrm{Tr}_2 \rho(1,2)\big)_{m_1\, m_1'} = \sum_{m_2 = -1/2}^{1/2} \big(\rho(1,2)\big)_{m_1\, m_2\, m_1'\, m_2}\ ,$$

$$\rho(2)_{m_2\, m_2'} = \big(\mathrm{Tr}_1 \rho(1,2)\big)_{m_2\, m_2'} = \sum_{m_1 = -1/2}^{1/2} \big(\rho(1,2)\big)_{m_1\, m_2\, m_1\, m_2'}\ .$$

One can check that, following these definitions, we obtain explicitly matrices $\rho(1)$ and $\rho(2)$ in terms of ρ_{ik} in two forms:

$$\rho(1) = \begin{pmatrix} \rho(1)_{1/2\ 1/2} & \rho(1)_{1/2\ -1/2} \\ \rho(1)_{-1/2\ 1/2} & \rho(1)_{-1/2\ -1/2} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \rho(1) = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{11} + \rho_{22} & \rho_{13} + \rho_{24} \\ \rho_{31} + \rho_{42} & \rho_{33} + \rho_{44} \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\rho(2) = \begin{pmatrix} \rho(2)_{1/2\ 1/2} & \rho(2)_{1/2\ -1/2} \\ \rho(2)_{-1/2\ 1/2} & \rho(2)_{-1/2\ -1/2} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \rho(2) = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{11} + \rho_{33} & \rho_{12} + \rho_{34} \\ \rho_{21} + \rho_{43} & \rho_{22} + \rho_{44} \end{pmatrix}.$$

For matrices $\rho(1,2)$, $\rho(1)$, and $\rho(2)$, there exists the well-known quantum subadditivity condition for von Neumann entropies:

$$S(1,2) = -\mathrm{Tr}\,\rho(1,2)\ln\rho(1,2), \quad S(1) = -\mathrm{Tr}\,\rho(1)\ln\rho(1), \quad S(2) = -\mathrm{Tr}\,\rho(2)\ln\rho(2);$$

it reads $S(1,2) \leq S(1) + S(2)$.

In the form of matrix inequality written in terms of the matrix ρ_{jk} $(j,k = 1,2,3,4)$, the quantum subadditivity condition for von Neumann entropies is

$$-\mathrm{Tr}\begin{pmatrix} \rho_{11} & \rho_{12} & \rho_{13} & \rho_{14} \\ \rho_{21} & \rho_{22} & \rho_{23} & \rho_{24} \\ \rho_{31} & \rho_{32} & \rho_{33} & \rho_{34} \\ \rho_{41} & \rho_{42} & \rho_{43} & \rho_{44} \end{pmatrix} \ln \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{11} & \rho_{12} & \rho_{13} & \rho_{14} \\ \rho_{21} & \rho_{22} & \rho_{23} & \rho_{24} \\ \rho_{31} & \rho_{32} & \rho_{33} & \rho_{34} \\ \rho_{41} & \rho_{42} & \rho_{43} & \rho_{44} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\leq -\mathrm{Tr}\begin{pmatrix} \rho_{11}+\rho_{12} & \rho_{13}+\rho_{24} \\ \rho_{31}+\rho_{42} & \rho_{33}+\rho_{44} \end{pmatrix} \ln \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{11}+\rho_{12} & \rho_{13}+\rho_{24} \\ \rho_{31}+\rho_{42} & \rho_{33}+\rho_{44} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$-\mathrm{Tr}\begin{pmatrix} \rho_{11}+\rho_{33} & \rho_{12}+\rho_{34} \\ \rho_{21}+\rho_{43} & \rho_{22}+\rho_{44} \end{pmatrix} \ln \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{11}+\rho_{33} & \rho_{12}+\rho_{34} \\ \rho_{21}+\rho_{43} & \rho_{22}+\rho_{44} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The inequality is the matrix inequality for the Hermitian density matrix ρ_{jk}, i.e., $\rho^*_{jk} = \rho_{kj}$ with $\mathrm{Tr}\,\rho = 1$, i.e., $\sum_k \rho_{kk} = 1$, with nonnegative eigenvalues. The inequality is valid independently of our quantum-mechanical interpretation, and it is valid for qudit with $j = 3/2$. To see this, we should use the map

$$3/2 \leftrightarrow 1, \quad 1/2 \leftrightarrow 2, \quad -1/2 \leftrightarrow 3, \quad -3/2 \leftrightarrow 4$$

in the above inequality.

3 Qutrit Density Matrix as a 4×4 Matrix

Now we present this inequality in the form, which is applicable to the density matrix of noncomposite qutrit $(j = 1)$ state $\rho_{mm'} = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{1\,1} & \rho_{1\,0} & \rho_{1\,-1} \\ \rho_{0\,1} & \rho_{0\,0} & \rho_{0\,-1} \\ \rho_{-1\,1} & \rho_{-1\,0} & \rho_{-1\,-1} \end{pmatrix}$, where spin projections $m, m' = 1, 0, -1$. We use the embedding

$$\rho_{mm'} = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{1\,1} & \rho_{1\,0} & \rho_{1\,-1} \\ \rho_{0\,1} & \rho_{0\,0} & \rho_{0\,-1} \\ \rho_{-1\,1} & \rho_{-1\,0} & \rho_{-1\,-1} \end{pmatrix} \to \rho = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{1\,1} & \rho_{1\,0} & \rho_{1\,-1} & 0 \\ \rho_{0\,1} & \rho_{0\,0} & \rho_{0\,-1} & 0 \\ \rho_{-1\,1} & \rho_{-1\,0} & \rho_{-1\,-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We got the 4×4-matrix ρ, which we can consider as the density matrix of "two artificial qubits" already studied above. Also we can apply the inequality found above for any 4×4-matrix and obtain a new inequality for the matrix ρ_{jk} $(j,k = 1,2,3)$.

The new inequality [18] for the matrix ρ_{jk} $(j,k=1,2,3)$ reads

$$-\mathrm{Tr}\begin{pmatrix}\rho_{11}&\rho_{12}&\rho_{13}\\ \rho_{21}&\rho_{22}&\rho_{23}\\ \rho_{31}&\rho_{32}&\rho_{33}\end{pmatrix}\ln\begin{pmatrix}\rho_{11}&\rho_{12}&\rho_{13}\\ \rho_{21}&\rho_{22}&\rho_{23}\\ \rho_{31}&\rho_{32}&\rho_{33}\end{pmatrix}$$

$$\le -\mathrm{Tr}\begin{pmatrix}\rho_{11}+\rho_{22}&\rho_{13}\\ \rho_{31}&\rho_{33}\end{pmatrix}\ln\begin{pmatrix}\rho_{11}+\rho_{22}&\rho_{13}\\ \rho_{31}&\rho_{33}\end{pmatrix}-\mathrm{Tr}\begin{pmatrix}\rho_{11}+\rho_{33}&\rho_{12}\\ \rho_{21}&\rho_{22}\end{pmatrix}\ln\begin{pmatrix}\rho_{11}+\rho_{33}&\rho_{12}\\ \rho_{21}&\rho_{22}\end{pmatrix}.$$

This inequality is valid for an arbitrary Hermitian 3×3-matrix ρ with $\mathrm{Tr}\,\rho=1$ and nonnegative eigenvalues. On the other hand, it is an analog of the new subadditivity condition for qutrit states, which has no subsystems; it reflects hidden correlations in the three-level atomic states.

As an example, we present the Araki–Lieb inequality [19]

$$S(1,2)\ge|S(1)-S(2)|$$

(known for von Neumann entropies of bipartite system and its subsystems) for entropy of the three-level atomic state (noncomposite system) in the form

$$-\mathrm{Tr}\begin{pmatrix}\rho_{11}&\rho_{12}&\rho_{13}\\ \rho_{21}&\rho_{22}&\rho_{23}\\ \rho_{31}&\rho_{32}&\rho_{33}\end{pmatrix}\ln\begin{pmatrix}\rho_{11}&\rho_{12}&\rho_{13}\\ \rho_{21}&\rho_{22}&\rho_{23}\\ \rho_{31}&\rho_{32}&\rho_{33}\end{pmatrix}$$

$$\ge\left|\mathrm{Tr}\begin{pmatrix}\rho_{11}+\rho_{22}&\rho_{13}\\ \rho_{31}&\rho_{33}\end{pmatrix}\ln\begin{pmatrix}\rho_{11}+\rho_{22}&\rho_{13}\\ \rho_{31}&\rho_{33}\end{pmatrix}-\mathrm{Tr}\begin{pmatrix}\rho_{11}+\rho_{33}&\rho_{12}\\ \rho_{21}&\rho_{22}\end{pmatrix}\ln\begin{pmatrix}\rho_{11}+\rho_{33}&\rho_{12}\\ \rho_{21}&\rho_{22}\end{pmatrix}\right|;$$

this is a new inequality for the qutrit state.

The inequalities can be checked in the experiments where the density matrices of the qutrit states are measured.

4 Tomographic Distribution for Spin-j States

Quantum states with the density matrix ρ are also determined by quantum tomograms, which for qudit states are fair probability distributions defined as [8–11]

$$w(m,u)=\langle m\mid u\rho u^{\dagger}\mid m\rangle$$

of random spin projections m depending on the unitary matrix u.

The inequality for two quantum tomograms $w_1(m,u)$ and $w_2(m,u)$ can be written in the form of the positivity condition for relative entropy:

$$\sum_{m=-j}^{j}w_1(m,u)\ln\frac{w_1(m,u)}{w_2(m,u)}\ge0$$

known for classical probability distributions, but this inequality is written for quantum systems. The inequality is valid for an arbitrary unitary $N\times N$-matrix u.

For two qubits, the tomogram of the quantum state with the density matrix $\rho = \rho(1,2)$ reads

$$w(m_1, m_2, u_1, u_2) = \langle m_1 m_2 \mid u_1 \times u_2 \, \rho(1,2) \, u_1^\dagger \times u_2^\dagger \mid m_1 m_2 \rangle,$$

where $m_1, m_2 = \pm 1/2$ are spin projections and u_1, u_2 are unitary 2×2 matrices of local transforms. The matrices can be labeled by unit vectors $\vec{n}_1$ and $\vec{n}_2$, and this means that the tomogram is the joint probability distribution $w(m_1, m_2, \vec{n}_1, \vec{n}_2)$ of the spin projection m_1 and m_2 on the directions $\vec{n}_1$ and $\vec{n}_2$, respectively.

5 Bell Inequalities for the Four-Level Atom

The Bell inequality for separable states of two qubits (two spins 1/2) are given in the form of inequality for correlations in the system, namely,

$$|B| = |\langle m_1 m_2 \rangle_{\vec{a}\,\vec{b}} + \langle m_1 m_2 \rangle_{\vec{a}\,\vec{c}} + \langle m_1 m_2 \rangle_{\vec{d}\,\vec{b}} - \langle m_1 m_2 \rangle_{\vec{d}\,\vec{c}}| \leq 2.$$

Here, $\vec{a}$, $\vec{b}$, $\vec{c}$, and $\vec{d}$ are directions given by unit vectors orthogonal to the sphere and determined by pairs of Euler angles (φ_k, θ_k), $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Here, the numbers m_1 and m_2 equal to ± 1 are the spin projections multiplied by 2 of the first spin and the second spin, respectively. The projection of the first spin m_1 is measured on the direction given by vectors $\vec{a}$ and $\vec{b}$. The projection of the second spin m_2 is measured on the direction given by vectors $\vec{c}$ and $\vec{d}$. The number B reads

$$B = \mathrm{Tr}\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w\left(+\frac{1}{2}, +\frac{1}{2}, \vec{a}, \vec{b}\right) & w\left(+\frac{1}{2}, +\frac{1}{2}, \vec{a}, \vec{c}\right) & w\left(+\frac{1}{2}, +\frac{1}{2}, \vec{d}, \vec{b}\right) & w\left(+\frac{1}{2}, +\frac{1}{2}, \vec{d}, \vec{c}\right) \\ w\left(+\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \vec{a}, \vec{b}\right) & w\left(+\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \vec{a}, \vec{c}\right) & w\left(+\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \vec{d}, \vec{b}\right) & w\left(+\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \vec{d}, \vec{c}\right) \\ w\left(-\frac{1}{2}, +\frac{1}{2}, \vec{a}, \vec{b}\right) & w\left(-\frac{1}{2}, +\frac{1}{2}, \vec{a}, \vec{c}\right) & w\left(-\frac{1}{2}, +\frac{1}{2}, \vec{d}, \vec{b}\right) & w\left(-\frac{1}{2}, +\frac{1}{2}, \vec{d}, \vec{c}\right) \\ w\left(-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \vec{a}, \vec{b}\right) & w\left(-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \vec{a}, \vec{c}\right) & w\left(-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \vec{d}, \vec{b}\right) & w\left(-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \vec{d}, \vec{c}\right) \end{pmatrix} \right],$$

where the matrix elements in each column are tomographic probabilities of the states of two spins determined by the 4×4 matrix $\rho(1,2)$.

For the separable state, $|B| < 2$.

For entangled state, $|B| \leq 2\sqrt{2}$.

We can rewrite the Bell inequality in a matrix form.

We use that the tomographic probabilities $w(m_1, m_2, \vec{n}_1, \vec{n}_2)$ are equal to diagonal elements of a 4×4-matrix, which is the density matrix transformed by unitary matrix $u_1 \otimes u_2$, i.e.,

$$\left(u_1 \otimes u_2 \cdot \rho(1,2) \cdot u_1^\dagger \otimes u_2^\dagger \right)_{m_1 m_2, m_1 m_2} = w(m_1, m_2, \vec{n}_1, \vec{n}_2).$$

Here, u_1 and u_2 are unitary 2×2-matrices of the form

$$u(\varphi, \theta, \psi) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta/2 \exp[i(\varphi + \psi)/2] & \sin\theta/2 \exp[i(\varphi - \psi)/2] \\ -\sin\theta/2 \exp[-i(\varphi - \psi)/2] & \cos\theta/2 \exp[-i(\varphi + \psi)/2] \end{pmatrix}.$$

One can check that the tomogram $w(m_1, m_2, \vec{n}_1, \vec{n}_2)$ depends only on pairs of angles determining the directions given by the vectors $\vec{n}_1$ and $\vec{n}_2$.

The presentation of the Bell number in the matrix form and the use of the map of indices provide the possibility to extend the Bell inequality to any density 4×4-matrix ρ, including the density matrix of the four-level atom or the density matrix of qudit with $j = 3/2$.

The inequality for these systems is as follows.

Given the nonnegative Hermitian matrix ρ_{jk} $(j, k = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ with $\mathrm{Tr}\,\rho = 1$, which can be presented in the separable-state form. We construct the diagonal matrix elements of the matrix

$$\Big(u_1 \otimes u_2 \cdot \rho \cdot u_1^\dagger \otimes u_2^\dagger\Big)_{kk} = \Omega(k|u_1, u_2).$$

The matrix elements are nonnegative and satisfy the normalization condition $\sum_{k=1}^{4} \Omega(k|u_1, u_2) = 1$. The dependence on the unitary matrices u_1 and u_2 is the dependence on angles φ_1, θ_1 and φ_2, θ_2.

Then a "new" Bell inequality follows from the matrix form of the CGSH inequality

$$\Big|\Omega(1|u_a, u_b) - \Omega(2|u_a, u_b) - \Omega(3|u_a, u_b) + \Omega(4|u_a, u_b)$$
$$+\Omega(1|u_a, u_c) - \Omega(2|u_a, u_c) - \Omega(3|u_a, u_c) + \Omega(4|u_a, u_c)$$
$$+\Omega(1|u_d, u_b) - \Omega(2|u_d, u_b) - \Omega(3|u_d, u_b) + \Omega(4|u_d, u_b)$$
$$-\Omega(1|u_d, u_c)| + \Omega(2|u_d, u_c) + \Omega(3|u_d, u_c) - \Omega(4|u_d, u_c)\Big| \leq 2.$$

This inequality is valid for any density 4×4-matrix ρ, which can be presented in a separable form

$$\rho = \sum_n p_n \rho^{(n)}(1) \otimes \rho^{(n)}(2), \qquad 1 \geq p_n \geq 0, \qquad \sum_n p_n = 1,$$

where 2×2-matrices $\rho^{(n)}(1)$ and $\rho^{(n)}(2)$ are nonnegative Hermitian matrices with unit trace.

The numerical inequality for the 4×4-matrix ρ does not depend on the interpretation of this matrix as the density matrix. This inequality can be checked experimentally, e.g., for the four-level atomic state realized by the superconducting circuit.

For an arbitrary 4×4-matrix ρ with nonnegative eigenvalues, unit trace, and such that $\rho^\dagger = \rho$, the bound in this inequality is equal to $2\sqrt{2}$; this Tsirelson[‡] [20] bound takes place for "entangled" matrix ρ, for example, $\rho = \dfrac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. This matrix corresponds to the pure state $\mid \psi\rangle$ of qudit with $j = 3/2$ of the form $\mid \psi\rangle = 2^{-1/2}\big(\mid 3/2\rangle + \mid -3/2\rangle\big)$.

In this case, the violation of Bell inequality is related to correlations between the states with different spin projections. An analogous inequality is valid for the qutrit density 3×3 matrix presented in the form of 4×4 matrix ρ with zero matrix elements. The same is true for the qubit state.

Thus, we can write formal Bell inequality for single qubits, qutrits, two qubits, qudit with $j = 3/2$, and four-level atom.

The inequality with the Tsirelson bound $2\sqrt{2}$ reflects the strong hidden quantum Bell correlations in these systems.

[‡]Concerning Cirelson and Tsirelson, see [http://www.tau.ac.il/∼tsirel/faq1.html]; living in Russia till 1991, Prof. B. S. Cirelson wrote most papers in Russian but after 1991 all his publications are written in English and he uses B. Tsirelson.

6 Matrix Inequality (Subadditivity Condition)

Now we construct two positive maps $\rho \to \rho(1)$ and $\rho \to \rho(2)$ of the density $N\times N$ matrix ρ onto density matrices $\rho(1)$ and $\rho(2)$ following a particular rule.

Let $N = nm$; this means that we can consider the $N\times N$ matrix ρ as an analog of the $N\times N$ matrix $\rho(1,2)$ of bipartite system. We write a new inequality in view of the rule we found.

First, we present the matrix ρ in the block form $\rho = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{11} & \rho_{12} & \cdots & \rho_{1n} \\ \rho_{21} & \rho_{22} & \cdots & \rho_{2n} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \rho_{n1} & \rho_{n2} & \cdots & \rho_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$.

The blocks $\rho_{kj}(k, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$ in this matrix are the $m\times m$-matrices.

The density $n\times n$-matrix $\rho(1)$ turns out to be $\rho(1) = \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Tr}\rho_{11} & \operatorname{Tr}\rho_{12} & \cdots & \operatorname{Tr}\rho_{1n} \\ \operatorname{Tr}\rho_{21} & \operatorname{Tr}\rho_{22} & \cdots & \operatorname{Tr}\rho_{2n} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \operatorname{Tr}\rho_{n1} & \operatorname{Tr}\rho_{n2} & \cdots & \operatorname{Tr}\rho_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$.

The density $m\times m$ matrix $\rho(2)$ turns out to be the sum of n blocks ρ_{kk}, i.e., $\rho(2) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \rho_{kk}$.

The matrix ρ in our consideration can be the density matrix of any state, e.g., the density matrix of a single qudit state. The matrices $\rho(1)$ and $\rho(2)$ are obtained from this matrix by the same rule as the density matrices of the subsystems in the bipartite system are obtained using the standard partial trace procedure. In view of this fact, the matrix inequality corresponding to the subadditivity condition holds:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\operatorname{Tr}\left\{\begin{pmatrix} \rho_{11} & \rho_{12} & \cdots & \rho_{1n} \\ \rho_{21} & \rho_{22} & \cdots & \rho_{2n} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \rho_{n1} & \rho_{n2} & \cdots & \rho_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \ln \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{11} & \rho_{12} & \cdots & \rho_{1n} \\ \rho_{21} & \rho_{22} & \cdots & \rho_{2n} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \rho_{n1} & \rho_{n2} & \cdots & \rho_{nn} \end{pmatrix}\right\} \\
&\leq -\operatorname{Tr}\left\{\begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Tr}\rho_{11} & \operatorname{Tr}\rho_{12} & \cdots & \operatorname{Tr}\rho_{1n} \\ \operatorname{Tr}\rho_{21} & \operatorname{Tr}\rho_{22} & \cdots & \operatorname{Tr}\rho_{2n} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \operatorname{Tr}\rho_{n1} & \operatorname{Tr}\rho_{n2} & \cdots & \operatorname{Tr}\rho_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \ln \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Tr}\rho_{11} & \operatorname{Tr}\rho_{12} & \cdots & \operatorname{Tr}\rho_{1n} \\ \operatorname{Tr}\rho_{21} & \operatorname{Tr}\rho_{22} & \cdots & \operatorname{Tr}\rho_{2n} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \operatorname{Tr}\rho_{n1} & \operatorname{Tr}\rho_{n2} & \cdots & \operatorname{Tr}\rho_{nn} \end{pmatrix}\right\} \\
&\quad -\operatorname{Tr}\left\{(\rho_{11}+\rho_{22}+\cdots+\rho_{nn})\ln(\rho_{11}+\rho_{22}+\cdots+\rho_{nn})\right\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

This inequality is valid for any Hermitian $N\times N$-matrix ρ, where $N = nm$, satisfying the condition $\operatorname{Tr}\rho = 1$ and the nonnegativity condition of the eigenvalues of this matrix. If the number $N = nm = \widetilde{n}\widetilde{m}$, the same matrix ρ satisfies two different inequalities, since one can organize the block structure of the matrix ρ differently.

7 Conclusions

To conclude, we point out the main results of our study.

We obtained a new inequality for an arbitrary nonnegative Hermitian matrix with unit trace. If one interprets this matrix as the density matrix of a two-qubit system, this mathematical inequality is just

the Bell–CHSH inequality with bound 2 for separable states or Tsirelson bound $2\sqrt{2}$ for entangled states. The inequality is also valid for the density matrix of indivisible systems like the four-level atom. The latter system can be realized as an artificial atom in the superconducting circuit based on Josephson-junction technique [12–17]. The inequalities for such physical systems characterize correlations like the Bell correlations. For indivisible systems, we call these correlations *the hidden Bell correlations*.

Our statement is as follows.

All kinds of quantum correlations associated either with entanglement [21] or the steering phenomenon available in multipartite systems are also available in indivisible systems. Bell correlations for the two-qubit system [22] can be found as hidden Bell correlations in indivisible systems as well.

The examples of qudit with $j = 3/2$ or systems like qutrit with the density 3×3 matrix, which can be considered as a 4×4-matrix with some zero matrix elements, demonstrate the validity of the inequality obtained.

Acknowledgments

We thank the Organizers of the 46th Winter Colloquium on the Physics of Quantum Electronics (PQE-2016, January 3–8, 2016, Snowbird, Utah, USA) and especially Prof. Marlan O. Scully for invitation and kind hospitality.

Appendix. Inequality for the Hermitian 4×4 Matrix

Given the 4×4 matrix f such that $f = f^\dagger$. Let us introduce a 4×4 matrix $\rho(x)$ such that

$$\rho(x) = (4x + \mathrm{Tr}\, f)^{-1}(f + x1),$$

where 1 is the unity 4×4 matrix and x is a real number. If f_j $(j = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ are eigenvalues of the matrix f, the matrix $\rho(x) = \rho^\dagger(x)$, $\mathrm{Tr}\,\rho(x) = 1$, and $\rho(x) \geq 0$ for $x > |f_j|$.

Now we construct the stochastic 4×4 matrix $\Omega_{\alpha\beta}$ with matrix elements

$$\Omega_{\alpha\beta}(x) = \left(U^{(\alpha)}\rho(x)U^{(\alpha)\dagger}\right)_{\beta\beta},$$

where $U^{(\alpha)}$ are unitary 4×4 matrices of the form

$$U^{(1)} = u_1 \times u_3, \qquad U^{(2)} = u_1 \times u_4, \qquad U^{(3)} = u_2 \times u_3, \qquad U^{(4)} = u_2 \times u_4,$$

with u_1, u_2, u_3, and u_4 being arbitrary unitary 2×2 matrices. Then, for $x > |f_j|$, the following inequality takes place

$$\left|\sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{4} I_{\beta\alpha}\Omega_{\alpha\beta}(x)\right| \leq 2\sqrt{2}.$$

If $f_j > 0$, one has the inequality

$$\left|\sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{4} I_{\beta\alpha}\left(U^{(\alpha)}fU^{(\alpha)\dagger}\right)_{\beta\beta}\right| \leq 2\sqrt{2}(\mathrm{Tr}\, f),$$

where the matrix $I_{\beta\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$. If $\mathrm{Tr}\, f = 1$, $f_j > 0$, and the 4×4 matrix f has the separable form, i.e.,

$$f = \sum_s p_s f_1^{(s)} \times f_2^{(s)}, \qquad 1 \geq p_s \geq 0, \qquad \sum_s p_s = 1,$$

where the 2×2 matrices $f_1^{(s)}$ and $f_2^{(s)}$ are nonnegative Hermitian matrices with unit trace, one has the inequality

$$\left| \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{4} I_{\beta\alpha} \left(U^{(\alpha)} f U^{(\alpha)\dagger} \right)_{\beta\beta} \right| \leq 2.$$

In the quantum information context, the matrix inequalities obtained can be interpreted as the inequalities for quantum observables f characterizing hidden correlations in both composite and noncomposite systems. Also if the matrix f can be considered as the density matrix ($f = \rho$) of the four-level atom or qudit with $j = 3/2$, the inequalities reflect the presence of hidden Bell correlations in the noncomposite (indivisible) systems.

References

[1] J. S. Bell, *Physics*, **1**, 19 (1964).

[2] J. F. Clauser, M. A. Horne, A. Shimony and R. A. Holt, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **23**, 880 (1969).

[3] E. H. Lieb and M. B. Ruskai, *J. Math. Phys.*, **14**, 1938 (1973).

[4] M. A. Man'ko and V. I. Man'ko, *Phys. Scr.* **T160**, 014030 (2014).

[5] M. A. Man'ko and V. I. Man'ko, *Int. J. Quantum Inf.* **12**, 156006 (2014).

[6] M. A. Man'ko and V. I. Man'ko, *Entropy*, **17**, 2876 (2015).

[7] M. A. Man'ko and V. I. Man'ko, *J. Russ. Laser Res.*, **35**, 509 (2014).

[8] V. I. Man'ko and O. V. Man'ko, *J. Exp. Theor. Phys.*, **85**, 430 (1997).

[9] V. V. Dodonov and V. I. Man'ko, *Phys. Lett. A*, **229**, 335 (1997)

[10] M. O. Terra Cunha, V. I. Man'ko, and M. O. Scully, *Found. Phys. Lett.*, **14**, 103 (2001).

[11] M. A. Man'ko, V. I. Man'ko, G. Marmo, et al., *Nuovo Cimento C* **36**, 163 (2013).

[12] V. V. Dodonov, O. V. Man'ko, and V. I. Man'ko, *J. Sov. Laser Res.*, **10**, 413 (1989).

[13] K. Takashima, N. Hatakenaka, S. Kurihara, and A. Zeilinger, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.*, **41**, 164036 (2008).

[14] T. Fujii, S. Matsuo, N. Hatakenaka, et al., *Phys. Rev. B*, **84**, 174521 (2001).

[15] A. K. Fedorov, E. O. Kiktenko, O. V. Man'ko, and V. I. Man'ko, *Phys. Rev. A*, **91**, 042312 (2015).

[16] E. O. Kiktenko, A. K. Fedorov, A. A. Strakhov, and V. I. Man'ko, *Phys. Lett. A*, **379**, 1409 (2015).

[17] E. Glushkov, A. Glushkova, and V. I. Man'ko, *J. Russ. Laser Res.*, **36**, 448 (2015).

[18] V. N. Chernega and O. V. Man'ko, *J. Russ. Laser Res.*, **234**, 383 (2013).

[19] H. Araki and E. H. Lieb, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **18**, 160 (1970).

[20] B. S. Cirelson, *Lett. Math. Phys.*, **4**, 93 (1980).

[21] E. Shrödinger, *Naturwissenschaften*, **23**, 807 (1935).

[22] Quan Quan, Huangjun Zhu, Heng Fan, and Wen-Li Yang, "Einstein–Podolsky–Rosen correlations and Bell correlations in the simplest scenario," arXiv:1601.00962v1 [quant-ph] (5 Jan 2016).